

Pakistan Information Commission
Government of Pakistan

Order

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Appeal No: 4798-06/2025

Ghulam Murtaza Bhatti

Vs

Gujranwala Electric Power Company

Date:

October 13, 2025

None one appeared on behalf of public body. Although appeal was filed against GEPCO but in the information request the applicant required certain documents from the GEPCO relating to GEPCO Employees Housing Foundation. The GEPCO vide letter dated 29-08-2025 in its reply submitted that GEPCO Employees Housing Foundation Gujranwala is a corporate body and is an independent entity registered with SECP under Section 42 of the Companies Ordinance, 1984 later on amended with Companies Act in 2017 and does not come under the purview of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. Copy of the written reply was shared with the applicant who has filed rejoinder taking plea that GEHF Board of Directors include GEPCO officers and funds are collected from GEPCO employees who are part of public sector, utility company. Hence, GEHF is a public body and applicant is entitled to have access to this record.

2. The Commission has considered the plea of the public body, rejoinder of the applicant and the law on the subject. Under section 1(2) of Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 ***“It shall apply to all public bodies of the Federal Government.”***
3. The applicant in his information request has mentioned that GEHF is registered under Section 42 Companies Ordinance, 1984. The Commission has noted that neither said body receives funds from the Government nor conducts any public function; it is a purely a body of employees of GEPCO and does not come within the definition of public body defined in Section 2 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. Although the appeal has been filed against GEPCO, which is a public body, the record requested by the applicant is not held by GEPCO. The record pertains to another entity, namely GEHF, which is neither run nor funded by GEPCO. GEHF also does not fall within the definition of a “public body” as discussed above. Consequently, GEPCO cannot be directed to furnish the record, as the same is held by GEHF, which is not a public body. Accordingly, the appeal is not maintainable and is hereby dismissed.
4. Copy of order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Appeal No: 4759-06/2025

Asif Ali Raza

Vs

Sheikh Zaid Hospital, Lahore

Date:

October 13, 2025

None appeared on behalf of public body.

2. On last date of hearing public body vide letter dated 01-09-2025 submitted response which was shared with the applicant vide notice dated 02-09-2025 under RGL No. 154261006. No objection has been received from the appellant it appears that appellant is satisfied with the information shared with him. No further proceedings are required, appeal stands disposed of.

3. Copy of order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Appeal No: 4670-04/2025

Mubasher Mubeen

Vs

Evacuee Trust Property Board

Date:

October 13, 2025

Muhammad Tauseef, Assistant Administrator, Evacuee Trust Property Board present on behalf of public body.

2. The representative of the public body has furnished certified copies of the required information vide letter dated October 08, 2025, in response to the applicant's information request. The Commission has examined the documents and found that the reply satisfies the requirements of the applicant. The same be shared with the applicant. Accordingly, after sharing the required documents with the applicant, the appeal stands disposed of.
3. Copy of order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

(Camp Office, Lahore)

Appeal No: 4882-08/2025

Muneer Hussain

Vs

Faisalabad Electric Supply Company

Date:

October 13, 2025

M. Imran SDO, FESCO Saddar S/Division present on behalf of public body.

2. The representative of the public body has submitted reply to the rejoinder vide letter dated October 10, 2025, along with two copies of the complete record (NEPRA CSM, Electricity Tariff, Terms and Conditions of Tariff). Earlier, a written response was shared with the applicant, who had objected vide his rejoinder dated October 01, 2025 that he was not provided certified copies of the required documents and further alleged that the answers to his queries were fake.

3. Now, the public body has furnished certified copies of the required documents along with a covering letter, which meets the requirements of the Act. The same be shared with the applicant. However, if the applicant believes that any information or document furnished by the public body is fake, he may file a complaint before the Commission under the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.

4. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan

Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui

Chief Information Commissioner